1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 Case No. C17-703RSM RUSSELL BRANDT, 10 ORDER RE: MOTIONS IN LIMINE 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. 13 COLUMBIA CREDIT SERVICES, INC., a 14 Delaware Corporation, WALES & WOEHLER, INC., P.S., a Washington 15 Corporation, JASON L.WOEHLER, WSBA Number 27658, and SACOR FINANCIAL, 16 INC., a California Corporation, 17 Defendants. 18 I. INTRODUCTION 19 20 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Russell Brandt's Motions in Limine, Dkt. 21 #30. Wales & Woehler, Inc., P.S., and Jason L Woehler, the only remaining Defendants in this 22 case, have not filed motions in limine, and have not responded to Plaintiff's Motions. For the 23 reasons set forth below, these Motions are GRANTED and DEFERRED as set forth below. 24 II. AGREED MOTIONS IN LIMINE 25 26 The Court will first address the Motions in Limine proposed by Plaintiff and agreed to by 27 Defendant. While some of these Motions attempt to sort out the facts and legal arguments that 28 are available at trial given prior rulings, other Motions cite settled and well-understood law that

ORDER RE: MOTIONS IN LIMINE - 1

applies in every case. Local Rule 7(d)(4) directs the parties to meet in good faith in an effort to *resolve* which matters are in dispute. As such, the Court would expect the parties to resolve many of these issues without needing the Court's intervention. However, for clarity the Court will grant these Motions as stated. Plaintiff's Motions 1a, 1c, 1g, and 3 - 13 are GRANTED.

III. PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE

The remaining Motions in Limine are proposed by Plaintiff and ostensibly objected to by Defendants. However, Defendants have not filed responsive briefing, leaving the Court to speculate as to the nature of such objections.

- 1b) Plaintiff asks the Court to exclude "[r]eferences to Sacor/CCS's actions giving rise to liability as an explanation for the remaining Defendants' actions..." given the Court's prior rulings. Dkt. #30 at 5. The Court finds this Motion lacks sufficient detail to enable a ruling at this time. This Motion is DEFERRED.
- 1d) Plaintiff seeks to exclude evidence related to Defendant's reputation as irrelevant to the issue of damages. *Id.* The Court agrees that such evidence or testimony is properly excluded under FRE 401. This Motion is GRANTED.
- 1e) and 1f) Plaintiff moves to exclude evidence related to the intent or reasonableness of Defendants' actions. Defendants are not to present to the jury testimony related to the reasonableness of their actions or their state of mind as this is irrelevant to the question of damages. Such testimony or evidence may be relevant to the statutory damages to be determined by the Court and may occur outside the presence of the jury to the extent it is relevant. These Motions are GRANTED IN PART as set forth above.
- 2) Plaintiff moves to exclude evidence or witnesses not previously disclosed. Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(i) requires identification of "each individual likely to have discoverable

information—along with the subjects of that information—that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment." Rule 26(e) requires parties to timely supplement their disclosure of potential witnesses. Plaintiff argues that Defendants have never disclosed witnesses. Defendants do not respond. According to the Proposed Pretrial Order, it appears Defendants will not present any exhibits and only call two witnesses, Jason Woehler and possibly Frank Huguenin. Dkt. #31. Given the above, this Motion is GRANTED, and Defendants are prohibited from presenting witnesses other than Defendant Woehler, unless the use would be solely for impeachment.

IV. DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE

Defendants have not filed any motions in limine.

V. CONCLUSION

Having reviewed the relevant briefing and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff's Motions in Limine are GRANTED AND DEFERRED as stated above.

DATED this 31st day of May, 2018.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE